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The evaluation process is best done as part of a continuous improvement process to provide both the pathway and district useful information about areas of strength and recommendations for areas of improvement.

The role of the lead reviewer has several key components that include:

1. Building a collaborative relationship with the pathway lead or team and support them through the certification process
2. Lead a preliminary review of submitted artifacts and data and provide feedback to the pathway
3. Plan the site visit in consultation with the pathway lead and other key stakeholders
4. Draft and complete a final report in collaboration with the Linked Learning Alliance

INITIAL CONTACT WITH PATHWAY TEAM

- Lead Reviewer will reach out to pathway lead to set up 30-minute introductory online meeting with pathway team.

- Objectives of this meeting:
  - introductions and roles
  - Role of lead evaluator
  - Access and use of the certification platform
  - Sketch out timeline: As a general rule, 12 weeks is a good estimate to start with (from initial meeting to final recommendation). This allows approximately six weeks to gather artifacts, write narratives and prepare for the Preliminary Review using the certification platform. Following the outcome of the review the pathway has another 4-6 to work on any revisions/additions identified in the preliminary review and prepare for the site visit. It is a fluid process! You may need more or less time depending on how much time your team as spent preparing prior to beginning the process. A final timeline and must be approved by the review team.
  - Q&A

- Lead Reviewer will share summary of meeting with LLA and pathway team.

- The lead reviewer is expected to respond to communications from the pathway lead(s) within 48 hours and is encouraged to set communications expectations with the pathway team at the introductory meeting.
PRELIMINARY REVIEW PROCESS

- Data and artifacts are to be uploaded to the certification platform and the certification or pathway lead must formally submit for review through the platform a minimum of ten days prior to the Preliminary Review or such time agreed to by the lead reviewer. At this time the pathway team will no longer be able to edit the submission. The portal will reopen and the team will have the opportunity to revise and respond once they have received feedback from the review team following the preliminary review.

- Identify who will take part in the Preliminary Review. The review must include at a minimum the lead reviewer, a Linked Learning Alliance reviewer, the pathway lead(s), coach or site administrator and district administrator as observer. Other pathway team members are welcome and encouraged to attend and should be included as needed based on their knowledge of the artifacts and narratives provide.

- The lead reviewer will lead the preliminary review process. The pathway team will be asked to walk the review team through each strand and domain describing the pathway program and providing context to the artifacts and data and how they demonstrate meeting each of the standards in the domain. Pathway teams should be prepared to discuss pathway data for each domain. The review team may ask clarifying questions of the pathway regarding their data and artifacts. This is an opportunity to identify any gaps that need to be addressed prior to the site visit. In addition, the reviewers should identify key questions or focus areas for the site visit. These should be shared and communicated with the pathway lead.

- By the conclusion of the preliminary review, the review team shall be prepared to share their preliminary assessment on each strand. The team should also identify tentative commendations and recommendations for each of the domains that will be used in the final report.

- These initial ratings for the standards and domain are tentative and need to be verified during the site visit. The final report and recommendations for certification will be done by the lead reviewer and submitted after the site visit to the Linked Learning Alliance through the reviewer portal. The Alliance will make the final determination regarding certification.

- Within five days of the preliminary review, the lead reviewer should provide the pathway team any feedback, questions or clarifications using the reviewer portal.
Selecting Evidence for Preliminary Review

Pathway teams should focus on selecting no more than a few key pieces of evidence for each of the standards. Some pieces of evidence may support more than one standard. Less is more. Focus on high leverage pieces of evidence. District and Pathway teams should also provide data related to outcomes as described in the standards.

Draft agenda for the virtual review:

1. Introductions and agenda (5 min)
2. Integrated Program of Study (15-20 min presentation, 5-10 Q&A)
3. Work-Based Learning (15-20 min presentation, 5-10 Q&A)
4. Integrated Student Supports (15-20 min presentation, 5-10 Q&A)
5. Debrief and Conclusion (5 min)

SITE VISIT

The site visit serves as the opportunity for an external reviewer to validate a pathway’s quality and provide both the district and pathway team feedback. Once the review team validates that the pathway meets the Linked Learning Gold Standards, then the pathway will be recommended for Linked Learning Gold Certification. While the evaluation is not the end of the road, it does represent a significant accomplishment of a milestone that is worth celebrating.

Based on those areas of further inquiry or examination, the Lead Reviewer and the district/site leader working with the pathway lead/director will develop a visit schedule. The schedule typically combines an opening presentation by the pathway and key stakeholders, a limited number of classroom visits, interviews, focus groups, and other meetings. The classroom visits should be focused on highlighting particular practices or standards of the pathway related to the Gold Standards.

While the pathway team and site and district leaders may be inclined to interpret the visit as a form of compliance, the Lead Reviewer will do his/her best to set a tone consistent with the ultimate goal of continuous improvement. That is to say, while Linked Learning Gold certification is an important result for all involved in the visit, the in-depth examination of pathway quality results in commendations and recommendations in the final report that will inform ongoing continuous improvement regardless of the certification decision.

Under the supervision of the Linked Learning Alliance, Lead Reviewers will actively support pathway teams or pathways lead teachers prior to, during, and after the site-visit by completing the following tasks.
Prior to the visit

- The lead reviewer will keep communication channels open and regularly check in with the pathway lead to answer questions and make the process as collaborative as possible.
- The lead reviewer will review pathway’s submitted data, artifacts and narratives in full.
- At least three weeks before the site visit, work with the pathway lead to jointly develop the site visit schedule to ensure that it meets mutual needs. This should include identifying any observers who will be part of the site visit and clarifying their roles. The student and faculty focus group sessions should take place in person on the day of the site visit. The advisory board/industry partner focus group may be virtual and scheduled on a different day. It is strongly encouraged that this be in conjunction with an advisory board meeting, providing the review team with an authentic experience of industry partner-pathway structures and relationships. The parent focus group may also be virtual and scheduled on a day and time fostering the greatest level of participation.
- See appendix at the end of this guide for sample site visit schedules and specific guidance for the focus groups.
- At least two weeks prior to the site visit, upload draft site visit schedule and agenda to the Linked Learning Alliance certification portal.

During the site visit

- The pathway will provide an overview presentation of their learning and work related to Linked Learning standards. This should include sharing their history, key successes, and what they are still working on. During the overview, the pathway should “tell their story” and explain key evidence and data (not all evidence! This is a holistic overview) related to the Gold standards. This is an opportunity for students, community members, and other key partners to take part in sharing about their roles and the impact of the pathway.
- The review team will conduct classroom visits and meet with pathway constituencies as defined in the Focus Group Guidance document (appendix b).
- At the end of the site visit the lead reviewer will identify any additional questions or evidence that is needed before submitting the final report.

FINAL REPORT

As certification takes a holistic approach to reviewing pathway quality based on an examination of artifacts, on-site review of program, and examination of pathway data, there may be individual standards within domains that the reviewers identify as needing improvement. These areas should be called out in the final report and should be used to form the basis of the 2-year mid-cycle check-in.
If a pathway is not recommended for certification, the final report will clearly identify the strand(s) and domains that are below standard, reasons why the pathway is falling short, and recommendations for improvement tied to specific domains. In the final meeting of the site visit with the pathway team, a draft timeframe for addressing deficient areas should be agreed upon and recorded in the final report. The Linked Learning Alliance will use the final report to work with the pathway team over the period established, and will conduct a final review. The form of the final review will be determined by the Linked Learning Alliance in consultation with the pathway team.

The Lead Reviewer has ten days after the site visit to submit their recommendation to the Alliance after which the Linked Learning Alliance will inform the pathway and district of certification in writing and will work with the pathway and district to celebrate and publicly recognize Gold certification.
Appendix A: Site Visit Guidance and Sample Agenda

This sample is provided for demonstration purposes. Your actual agenda should reflect the character and culture of your community. For example, the start time and end times may vary depending on your school day. You may schedule faculty focus groups around their availability. The agenda should minimize the impact on daily routines and schedules and should not require substitute teachers with the possible exception of the pathway lead. The pathway team creates a draft agenda for approval by the lead reviewer.

**Day 1: (Insert Date)**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Time</th>
<th>Period</th>
<th>Objective</th>
<th>Room #</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>8:30 a.m. – 9:20 a.m. (50 min)</td>
<td>Period 1</td>
<td><strong>Opening Presentation and Leadership meeting</strong>&lt;br&gt;Overview of pathway and site visit agenda</td>
<td>Insert room #</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>9:30 p.m. – 10:30 p.m. (60 min)</td>
<td>Period 2</td>
<td><strong>Classroom Visits</strong>&lt;br&gt;9th-grade CTE class</td>
<td>Insert room #</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>You and the Law</td>
<td>Insert room #</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>10th-grade CTE class</td>
<td>Insert room #</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>11th-12th-grade CTE class</td>
<td>Insert room #</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>10:40 a.m. – 11:20 a.m. (40 min)</td>
<td>Period 3</td>
<td><strong>Focus Group – Pathway staff (9th and 10th-grade team)</strong></td>
<td>room #</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>11:20 p.m. – 12:00 p.m. (40 min)</td>
<td>Period 4</td>
<td><strong>Focus Group – Pathway faculty/ staff lunch provided</strong></td>
<td>Insert room #</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>12:00 p.m. – 12:30 p.m. (30 min)</td>
<td>Lunch</td>
<td><strong>Focus Group – Students</strong></td>
<td>Insert room #</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>12:40 p.m. – 1:20 p.m. (40 min)</td>
<td>Period 5</td>
<td><strong>Review team meeting with pathway, school, and district leadership</strong></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1:40 p.m. – 2:25 p.m. (45 min)</td>
<td>Period 6</td>
<td><strong>Classroom Visits</strong>&lt;br&gt;9th-grade English class</td>
<td>Insert room #</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>11th grade English</td>
<td>Insert room #</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>10th-grade Math class</td>
<td>Insert room #</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2:30 p.m. – 2:50 p.m. (20 min)</td>
<td>After school</td>
<td><strong>Review team meeting</strong></td>
<td>Insert room #</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2:55 p.m. – 3:20 p.m. (25 min)</td>
<td>After school</td>
<td><strong>Discussion and debriefing with key staff</strong>&lt;br&gt;(Refreshments will be provided.)</td>
<td>Insert room #</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

---

**Date/Time** | **Purpose** | **Online Access**
---|---|---
Thurs. xx/xx 5:00 – 5:45 | **Parent Panel**<br>- Name x<br>- Name x<br>- Name x<br>- Name x | **https://zoom.us/principal**
Appendix B: Focus Group Guidance

This page outlines the focus groups that are required for the Linked Learning Gold site visit. These focus groups will provide the visiting evaluations team with a better understanding of partner involvement and pathway impact on the students and the community. Provided with this document is a series of focus group questions that the pathway may share with focus group participants in advance of the site visit to give participants adequate time to help them prepare for a thoughtful and thorough discussion.

Leadership Team Focus Group – 40 minutes
The leadership team includes the pathway lead, district Linked Learning representatives, site principal and other site administrators that oversee the pathway.

Introductions and Overview:
1. Overview of the pathway.
2. What do you feel are the 1-2 greatest strengths of this pathway?
3. What do you feel are the 1-2 key items that we should be looking at related to improvement/clarification during the site visit?

Integrated Program of Study
4. Early College Credit Opportunities: What types of early college credit opportunities or advanced placement courses are available to students? What percentage of students participate in these courses? What percentage earns college credit?
5. Integrated Program of Study: How does the leadership team support and promote cross-subject project-based learning in the program of study?
6. College Qualifying: How is the district, site or pathway collecting evidence of students achieving one or more of the following: enrolling in a postsecondary institution, attaining an industry-recognized certificate, entering into an apprenticeship program, or obtaining a job?
7. Social Emotional Learning: How does the pathway address the development of social awareness, self-management, and a mindset of growth and self-efficacy?

Work-Based Learning:
1. Please describe how your work-based learning continuum of opportunities (career exploration, awareness, and preparation) and key outcomes of your work-based learning program.
2. Do students have work-based learning plans and do they participate in self-assessments?
3. Work-based Learning Plan and Student Assessment: How do you assess the impact of the work-based learning activities?
4. **Employer/Provider Evaluation:** How do industry and employers provide input and feedback about the work-based learning?

**Student Supports**

5. What types of student orientation activities take place that prepare them for pathway success? As appropriate, these activities occur yearly to ensure preparation for success at each grade level.

6. **Equitable Enrollment:** What are the student demographics of the pathway?

7. **Student Validation:** How is student feedback collected and how is it applied to the design and improvement of the pathway?

**Pathway Partners Focus Group – 30-40 minutes**

The pathway partner focus group should include at least one advisory board member, work-based learning partners, as well as representation if possible from postsecondary partners and other relevant community partners.

**Introduction**

1. Please introduce yourself and tell us about your professional work—where you work and what you do.

2. What has been your involvement with this pathway and for how long?

3. Has anyone worked within a classroom for a teacher-created project?

4. Has anyone ever assessed a student’s work?

5. As a parent, how would you describe the pathway experience for your child?

6. What do you see from the students?

**WBL Assessment and professionalism and workplace readiness**

7. How do you think your work at this pathway has impacted students? How could it be more impactful for you and students?

8. **Employer/Provider Validation:** Quality work-based learning includes opportunities for thoughtful self-reflection and feedback from providers. How do providers assess, reflect and give feedback related to the WBL experiences they are engaged in directly or support?

   1. How do partners assess the quality of student preparation and performance in work-based learning and related activities (ex. professionalism, soft skills, etc.)?

   2. How is this feedback used to improve preparation of students for work-based learning and employment?

9. Have you been involved in any of the pathways curriculum, projects or looking at student work?

10. What do you feel are the strengths of the pathway?

**Advisory Board**
11. Are you a member of the Advisory Board?
12. How has the advisory board supported the pathway and student outcomes related to college or career readiness? (only if they are part of the Advisory board or know of its work)

**Parent/Guardian Focus Group 30 – 40 minutes**
The parents participating should reflect the diversity of families you serve. Every effort should be made to include parents of EL students, students with IEPs and students of the lower and upper grades. A translator should be made available as needed.

1. What grade is your child in and how long have they been in this pathway?
2. What is your child’s favorite thing about the pathway? What do you value most in your child’s pathway experience?
3. How do the school and individual teachers communicate with you? Do you feel that you have a good understanding of the academic expectations for your child?
4. Does your child feel safe and supported at school?
5. Are you aware of the options open you to and your child in selecting college and/or a career path after graduation?
6. Are the connections between school and work clear? What kind of experiences has your child had?

**Student Focus Group 30 – 40 minutes**
The student focus group should include pathway students from each grade level and represent the diverse student body of the pathway. Every effort should be made to include ELL and students with IEPs and if possible a graduate of the pathway. Students should be prepared to speak about their pathway experience including how they were recruited, pathway culture, coursework, culminating experience and work-based learning opportunities. The group should include between 6-10 students. Half to two-thirds of the students may be pre-selected by the pathway team. The review team should be given the opportunity to select the remainder during classroom visits. Therefore the student panel should take place no earlier than the first round of classroom visits.

**Introduction & Goals**
1. Can you please tell us your name, what grade you are in and how long you have been at this pathway?
2. How has the pathway prepared you for college and career?

**Integrated Program of Study**
3. **Culminating Experience:** Can you talk about an integrated project you did this past year? Have you participated or do plan to complete an internship project, capstone project, or defense of learning?
4. How often is the pathway theme integrated into your core academic classes?
5. How is teaching and learning different in the pathway?
Work Based Learning

6. How is work-based learning a part of the pathway?
7. Internship Completion: Have you participated in an Internship?
8. How do you get feedback about your work-based learning?

Student Support

9. Equitable Enrollment and Admissions: Did you pick this pathway or were you assigned? If you picked it what made you choose it?
10. What types of student orientation activities take place that prepare students for pathway success? Do these occur yearly at each grade level?
11. Support Plan for Equitable Success: Can you talk about how you are supported at your school? Who do you go to when you have problems or questions?
12. How do you find out about college and careers?

Pathway Improvement

13. If you were going to tell an 8th grader that they should come to this pathway what would be the best reason you would share?
14. If you could improve something about your pathway experience what would it be and why?

Faculty/Staff Focus Group – 40 minutes

The faculty focus group will include pathway teachers and any other relevant counselors or school/district staff who contribute to the success of the pathway. The group must include teachers from across the core subject areas and CTE/career themes classes.

Introduction

1. Please introduce yourself and tell us about your role
2. What is a key strength of the pathway/academy?

Integrated Program of Study

3. How does the pathway integrate curriculum and how do academic courses integrate the pathway theme?
4. Social Emotional Learning: What activities do students participate in that emphasize the development of social awareness, self-management, and a mindset of growth and self-efficacy?
5. Equitable Enrollment and Admissions: How are students selected and placed in the pathway?
6. Culminating Experience: Can you describe your culminating project or portfolio that students do senior year?
7. Early College Credit Opportunities: What types of early college credit opportunities or advanced placement course are available to students? What % of students take these courses? What % earns college credit?

Work-Based Learning
1. **Work-Based Learning Plan**: Please describe your work-based learning continuum of opportunities and outcomes. What % of students takes part in these activities?

2. **Work-Based Learning Assessment**: How do you assess the impact of the work-based learning activities?

3. **Employer/Partner Validation**: How do industry and employers provide input and feedback about the work-based learning?

**Support Services**

4. What types of student orientation activities take place that prepare them for pathway success? As appropriate, these activities occur yearly to ensure preparation for success at each grade level.

5. **Student Validation**: How is student feedback collected and how is it applied to the design and improvement of the pathway? (Supporting evidence could include student surveys/focus group findings, or other means by which the pathway gets regular feedback or learns from its partners - and how the pathway acts on that feedback). (2) Students complete an annual survey.